Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION WIS/03/15-JUL-DPPA, TAUP-2966/13

On bound-states of the Gross Neveu model with massive fundamental fermions

Yitzhak Frishman[1*] **and Jacob Sonnenschein**[2†]

[1] *Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics*
The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

[2] *The Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy,*
Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel

arXiv:1603.00067v1 [hep-th] 29 Feb 2016

Abstract: In the search for QFT's that admit boundstates, we reinvestigate the two dimensional Gross-Neveu model, but with massive fermions. By computing the self-energy for the auxiliary boundstate field and the effective potential, we show that unlike the massless model, where in the large N limit there is a boundstate at threshold, for massive fermions there is a non-trivial binding energy. In the limit of infinite coupling the boundstate becomes massless and the binding energy is twice the fermion physical mass.

Keywords: Chern-Simons theory, spectrum of bound states.

Contents

1. Introduction 1

2. Probing bound states 2

3. The self energy of σ 3

4. The effective potential 5

4.1 Subtraction at zero 7

5. Summary 8

1. Introduction

Bound states are a very important ingredient of physical systems. From hadrons via atoms and molecules and all the way to solar systems and galaxies we encounter in nature systems where a bunch of " elementary objects" are bound together. Whereas, for most of such systems the binding mechanism is well understood, for hadrons the process of confining together quarks and gluons is still quite far from being fully understood. Moreover, QFT in general has been developed to handle mainly amplitudes of scattering and much less tools have been constructed to analyze the phenomena of bound states.

A landmark development en route to deciphering confinement in four dimensional QCD has been 't Hooft's solution of two dimensional QCD in the large number of colors N limit [1]. A Bethe-Salpeter equation for the wavefunction of the quark anti-quark bound state was solved and the corresponding spectrum was determined. It is easy to realize that the same "magic" cannot be achieved for QCD in higher, even not three, dimensions. A simpler toy model in three dimensions is the Chern-Simons (CS) theory coupled to fundamental matter. Tremendous progress has been made in recent years in understanding various aspects of these systems. In particular we have shown [2], [3] that unlike the two dimensional QCD case, the three dimensional Chern-Simons theory coupled to fundamental fermions in the large N, large level K limits where $\lambda = \frac{N}{K}$ is kept fixed, does not admit a bound state spectrum. This was done by proving that the 't Hooft like bound state equations do not have solutions. On the other hand in [4] it was shown that the CS theory coupled to scalars in the fundamental representation with quartic self interaction does admit poles in the scattering amplitude which correspond to particle anti-particle bound states in the singlet channel. Furthermore, it was shown [5] that this theory in the so called "Wilson-Fisher" limit is equivalent to the theory of fundamental fermions coupled to a CS

gauge theory. This equivalence holds for a range of parameters that does not include the region where the S matrix has poles so there is no contradiction between the no bound states of [3] and the poles of [4].[1] These findings raise a natural question of whether the theories of fundamental matter with quartic interaction both for bosons and fermions but with no coupling to the CS gauge fields admit bound states. This question, in various dimensions, is the subject of the current paper and a following one [7].

Unlike the method we have used in [2] and [3], in this paper we use a much simpler method. Following the gross Neveu model [GN] [8], we formulate the quartic interactions by invoking a singlet auxiliary field which trivially upon integrating it out yields the ordinary formulation. We compute (exactly in large N) the self-energy of the auxiliary field, but this time with non-zero fermion mass, and check for what domain of the parameters of the theory it admits poles. It is found that there is one bound state, for a certain region of the renormalized coupling constant. In the limit of infinite coupling the boundstate becomes massless and the binding energy is twice the fermion physical mass. It is worth mentioning that the binding at threshold for the massless case is the leading result in large N but at finite N it was found out in [9] that $\frac{M_\sigma}{2M_F} = \cos\left[\frac{\pi}{N-2}\right]$ so that there is a binding energy at order $O(\frac{1}{N^2})$.

The GN model and its generalizations have been thoroughly investigated from various different points of view. For review papers and references therein see [10] in 2+1 dimensions and [11]. The massive GN model has attracted less attention than the massless one, however certain properties of the massive were also examined for instance in [12], where the binding energies for all the antisymmetric tensor multiplets were computed.

The paper is organized as follows. In section §2 we describe three methods of handling bound states in QFT, and in particular we elaborate on the auxiliary field method. In the next section we review the self energy of the auxiliary field. In section §4 we calculate the effective potential, for the case of a massive fermion. We show that for a certain range of the coupling constant the system admits one bound state. In the last section we summarize the results of the various different cases and present some open questions.

2. Probing bound states

Quantum field theories are equipped with efficient tools to study scattering processes, but the toolkit for analyzing bound states is much more limited. Among the methods to address the issue of bound states are:

1. The identification of poles of the S matrix,
2. Solving the bound state wave-function, like 't Hooft in two dimensions, and
3. Studying the propagator of an auxiliary field, that upon the use of the equations of motion equals to a bound state of two underlying fields.

Let us now elaborate on the latter. Four Fermi interactions like that of the Gross-Neveu model is known to be renormalizable in two space-time dimensions. However in the large N_c limit such an interaction is renormalizable also in higher dimensions [10]. For the class

[1] The possibility of having a massless bound state was discussed in [6]

of models with quartic interactions of fields, either fermionic or bosonic, one can examine the question of whether the model admits a bound state by examining the propagator of an auxilary field. The latter is introduced in the following form

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi, \tilde{\sigma}) = \mathcal{L}_0(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 - g\tilde{\sigma}\Phi^\dagger \Phi \tag{2.1}$$

where Φ is a field, either bosoic or fermionic, in the fundamental representation of $SU(N_c)$. The equation of motion of $\tilde{\sigma}$ reads

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{g}{\mu^2}\Phi^\dagger \Phi \tag{2.2}$$

We take now the following limit

$$g^2 \to \infty \qquad \mu^2 \to \infty \qquad \frac{g^2}{\mu^2} \equiv \tilde{g}^2 \quad fixed \tag{2.3}$$

In fact taking the limit of $\mu^2 \to \infty$ is needed if one adds a kinetic term for $\tilde{\sigma}$. Using the equation of motion of $\tilde{\sigma}$ we obviously get a quartic interaction in the action

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi) = \mathcal{L}_0(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^2 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 \tag{2.4}$$

Note that this emerged from a filed $\tilde{\sigma}$ that is actually a proper limit of an infinite mass tachyon field. This is important for the scalar case, to ensure positivity of the energy.

The tree level and full propagators of the auxilary field $\tilde{\sigma}$ are

$$D^0_{\tilde{\sigma}}(k) = i \qquad D_{\tilde{\sigma}}(k) = \frac{i}{1 - i\Pi(k)} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Pi(k)$ is the self energy of the auxiliary field. From the outcome of the equation of motion (2.2) it follows that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the field that describes a boundstate of $\Phi^\dagger\Phi$. Thus, a boundstate exists if

$D_{\tilde{\sigma}}(k)$ **has a pole in the domain of** $4M_\Phi^2 > k^2 > 0$.

where M_Φ is the physical mass of the particle created by the field Φ. If on the other hand

There is a pole in the domain $(-k^2) > 0$**, then the theory suffers from a Landau pole.**

3. The self energy of σ

We start with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2 - g\tilde{\sigma}\bar{\psi}\psi \tag{3.1}$$

where ψ is 2d Dirac fermion in the fundamental representation of $U(N)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a scalar which is a $U(N)$ singlet. The γ matrices in 2d can be expressed in terms Pauli matrices $\gamma_0 = \sigma_1, \gamma_1 = i\sigma_2$. Note that this model differs from the orginal GN model by the fact that

here the fermions are massive. Since $\tilde{\sigma}$ does not have a dynamical term its free propagator is a constant

$$D^0_{\tilde{\sigma}}(k) = -i \tag{3.2}$$

The fermion free propagator is given by

$$S_\psi(k) = \frac{i}{\not{k} - m} \tag{3.3}$$

Next we proceed to compute the scalar self energy. We do it in the large N limit for which

$$N \to \infty \qquad g^2 N \equiv \lambda \; fixed \tag{3.4}$$

where λ is dimensionless. The full propagator of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is given by

$$D_{\tilde{\sigma}}(k) = \frac{-i}{1 + i\Pi(k)} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(k) &= \lambda \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} Tr\left[\frac{i}{[\gamma^\mu q_\mu - m]} \frac{i}{[\gamma^\nu (q-k)_\nu - m]}\right] \\ &= -\lambda \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{2(q^2 - k\cdot q + m^2)}{(q^2 - m^2)[(k-q)^2 - m^2]} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

As is common we introduce a Feynmann variable α so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(k) &= -2\lambda \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q^2 - k\cdot q + m^2}{[q^2 + \alpha k^2 - m^2 - 2\alpha k\cdot q]^2} \\ &= -2\lambda \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{(q+\alpha k)^2 - (\alpha k + q)\cdot k + m^2}{[q^2 + \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 - m^2]^2} \\ &= -2\lambda \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q^2 + m^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2}{[q^2 + \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 - m^2]^2} \\ &= 2i\lambda \int_0^1 d\alpha \int \frac{d^2q_E}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q_E^2 - [m^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]}{[q_E^2 + m^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Incorporating now a dimensional regularization with $D = 2 - 2\epsilon$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(k^2) &= \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left[\frac{1}{m^2}[m^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]\right]^{-\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \xi^{1-2\epsilon} d\xi \frac{\xi^2 - 1}{(\xi^2+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^1 d\alpha \left[\frac{1}{m^2}[m^2 - \alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]\right]^{-\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 + O(\epsilon)\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}-1\right] - \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi}\int_0^1 d\alpha \ln\left[\frac{1}{m^2}[m^2-\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]\right] \tag{3.8}$$

Define now the renormalized coupling

$$G_R^2 = \frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}-1\right)\Big)} \tag{3.9}$$

A pole in the propagator appears when

$$1 + \frac{G_R^2}{2\pi}\int_0^1 d\alpha \ln\left[\frac{1}{m^2}[m^2-\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2]\right] = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

In fact as will be shown below the condition for the pole is determined by this equation when we replace

$$m \to M_F = m + g\sigma_m$$

where σ_m is the minimum of the effective potential.

The requirement of no Landau pole is obeyed for

$$\frac{G_R^2}{\pi} \geq 1 \tag{3.11}$$

The system admits one bound state. Note that in this case the self-energy vanishes at $k^2 = 0$, so no subtraction needed.

Of course to get the full effects, we need to compute the $\tilde{\sigma}$ potential, as was done by Gross-Neveu [8], but with a finite mass m this time.

4. The effective potential

Due to translational invariance the vacuum expectation value of the field $\sigma(x)$ has to be x^μ independent, namely a constant. We denote it by $\sigma_c =< 0|\sigma|0 >$. The generating functional is given by

$$\Gamma(\sigma_c) = \int d^D x V(\sigma_c) \tag{4.1}$$

where $V(\sigma_c)$ is the effective potential. Since Γ is the generating functional of the one particle irreducible (1PI) n point function the effective potential is thus

$$V(\sigma_c) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!}(\sigma_c)^n \Gamma_n(0,0,...,0) \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Gamma_n(0,0,...,0)$ is the sum of all the 1PI Green's function with n external lines of σ at zero momentum. At tree level it is obvious that $V(\sigma_c = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2$. To leading order in $\frac{1}{N}$ we have to sum all the one loop graphs with a UV cutoff Λ. Therefore the effective potential is

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2 - iN\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(g\sigma_c)^n}{n}\int\frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} Tr\left(\frac{1}{\not{k}-m}\right)^n \tag{4.3}$$

Performing the infinite sum we get

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2 + iN \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} Tr \left(\frac{1}{2} ln(1 - \frac{g\sigma_c}{\not{k} - m})^2 + \frac{g\sigma_c}{\not{k} - m} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

We can now make a change of variables $k^\mu \to -k^\mu$. We then take a half the sum of the original and modified integrals to get

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2 + \frac{iN}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} Tr \left(\frac{1}{2} ln(1 - \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2 - m^2}{k^2 - m^2})^2 + \frac{2mg\sigma_c}{k^2 - m^2} \right) \tag{4.5}$$

The Tr would give a factor of $2^{[\frac{D}{2}]}$, where $[\frac{D}{2}]$ is the integer part of $\frac{D}{2}$. Going to Euclidean space, and integrating over the angles of the D dimensional sphere we get

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2 - K(D) \int_0^\infty x^{D-1} dx \left(ln(1 + \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2 - m^2}{x^2 + m^2}) - \frac{2mg\sigma_c}{x^2 + m^2} \right) \tag{4.6}$$

where $K(D) = \frac{N}{C(2\pi)^{(\frac{D}{2})}\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})}$, with $C = 1$ for even D and $C = \sqrt{2}$ for odd D. The area of the unit sphere in D dimensions, namely $\frac{2\pi^{(\frac{D}{2})}}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})}$, was used.

We can evaluate the integral in general D dimensions. The explicit expression is lengthy, and will be given elsewhere.

For D=2 and after introducing a cutoff Λ the effective potential reads

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^2 - \frac{N}{4\pi} \left[(g\sigma_c)^2 ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - (g\sigma_c + m)^2 ln \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{m^2} + (g\sigma_c + m)^2 - m^2 \right] \tag{4.7}$$

Following [8] we renormalize the effective potential by requiring that

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial {\sigma_c}^2}|_{\sigma_c = \sigma_0} = 1 \tag{4.8}$$

This leads to the relation

$$ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} = ln \frac{(g\sigma_0 + m)^2}{m^2} + 2$$

From which one gets

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_c^2 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \left[(g\sigma_c)^2 ln \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{(g\sigma_0 + m)^2} + (m^2 + 2mg\sigma_c) ln \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{m^2} - 3(g\sigma_c)^2 - 2mg\sigma_c \right] \tag{4.9}$$

The derivative of the potential is

$$V'(\sigma_c) = \sigma_c + \frac{Ng}{2\pi} \left[g\sigma_c ln \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{(g\sigma_0 + m)^2} + m ln \frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{m^2} - 2g\sigma_c \right] \tag{4.10}$$

We want to find the minimum of the potential. For the case of $m = 0$ the result agrees with [8], of course. For that case the minimum of the potential is at

$$\sigma_m = \sigma_0 e^{(1 - \frac{\pi}{\lambda})} \tag{4.11}$$

and the mass of the fermion is $m_F = g\sigma_m$. For $m \neq 0$ there is no analytic solution for the minimum σ_m. However one can find its approximated value numerically. For instance for the case that $\epsilon = \frac{m}{g\sigma_0} << 1$ we get that $\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_0}$ will have, besides $e^{(1-\frac{\pi}{\lambda})}$, extra terms of $\epsilon ln\epsilon$ and ϵ, which can be computed directly from $V'(\sigma_m) = 0$.

We can rewrite (4.9) as

$$V(\sigma_c) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_c^2 + \frac{N}{4\pi}\left[(g\sigma_c + m)^2 ln\frac{(g\sigma_c + m)^2}{m^2} + 2(g\sigma_c)^2 ln\rho - 3(g\sigma_c)^2 - 2mg\sigma_c\right] \quad (4.12)$$

where $\rho = \frac{m}{g\sigma_0 + m}$. $\frac{Vg^2}{m^2}$ as a function of $\frac{g\sigma_c}{m}$ is drawn in figure 1.

We can choose the derivative to vanish at $\sigma_c = \sigma_0$. This leads to a connection between λ and ρ as

$$\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\left[1 + \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} ln\rho\right] = 1 \quad (4.13)$$

Where $M_F = (g\sigma_0 + m)$ is the physical mass, and now $\rho = \frac{m}{M_F}$.

The expression in the square brackets is positive for $1 > \rho > 0$, and is less than 1 there. So in this region $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} > 1$.



Figure 1: $\frac{Vg^2}{m^2}$ as a function of $\frac{g\sigma_c}{m}$ for green $\rho = 0.01$, gray $\rho = 0.1$, pink $\rho = 0.5$ and blue $\rho = 1$ with $\lambda = 4\pi$

4.1 Subtraction at zero

We now go back to (3.8). Upon eliminating the logarithmic infinity by subtraction at $k^2 = 0$, we get

$$\Pi(k^2) = \frac{-i\lambda}{2\pi}\int_0^1 d\alpha ln\left[1 - \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{k^2}{m^2}\right] \quad (4.14)$$

Now the condition for a pole in the σ propagator is

$$1 + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\int_0^1 d\alpha \ln\left[1 - \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{k^2}{M_F^2}\right] = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

Performing the integral the condition takes the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{4M_F^2}{k^2}-1}\ \arctan\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4M_F^2}{k^2}-1}}\right]=1-\frac{\pi}{\lambda} \tag{4.16}$$

We use now the physical mass M_F, as obtained from the effective potential calculated above. We also show there, that λ is determined by the ratio $\rho = \frac{m}{M_F}$, and that for $1 > \rho > 0$ we have $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} > 1$. Thus in this region there is one bound state. It is close to the threshold for small ρ, and gets closer to zero mass when ρ gets close to one from below.

We can also define the following dimensionless measure of the binding energy

$$\eta \equiv \frac{4M_F^2 - k^2}{4M_F^2} \tag{4.17}$$

From the condition (4.16) we find that binding at threshold $\eta = 0$ occurs for $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} = 1$ and the maximal binding $\eta = 1$ for $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \to \infty$.

In figure 2 we plot the binding energy parameter η as a function of $\frac{\lambda}{\pi}$.



Figure 2: The binding energy parameter η as a function of the coupling λ

5. Summary

In this note we address the issue of bound states in the two dimensional Gross Neveu model with massive fermions. In the original paper it was shown that in the large N limit for the massless case there is no binding energy, namely, it is a binding at threshold. For the massive case the situation is different and there is a non trivial binding energy. When the coupling constant is $\lambda = \pi$ the binding energy vanishes and when the coupling goes to infinity the binding energy is maximal, namely $2M_F$, twice the fermion physical mass, and the bound state is massless.

This result is part of a larger investigation of quantum field theories in various dimensions that admit non-trivial bound states [7]. Naturally one would like to investigate in a

similar manner the Gross Neveu model in three and four dimensions and also the theories with a scalar in the fundamental representation of the $SU(N)$ at large N.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ofer Aharony for comments on the manuscript. The work of J.S was partially supported by a centre of excellence supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant number 1989/14), by the US-Israel bi-national fund (BSF) grant number 2012383, the Germany Israel bi-national fund GIF grant number I-244-303.7-2013 and by the "Einstein Center of Theoretical Physics " at the Weizmann Institute.

References

[1] G. 't Hooft, "A Two-Dimensional Model for Mesons," Nucl. Phys. B **75** (1974) 461.

[2] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, "Breaking conformal invariance - Large N Chern-Simons theory coupled to massive fundamental fermions," JHEP **1312** (2013) 091 [arXiv:1306.6465 [hep-th]].

[3] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, "Large N Chern-Simons with massive fundamental fermions - A model with no bound states," JHEP **1412** (2014) 165 [arXiv:1409.6083 [hep-th]].

[4] S. Jain, M. Mandlik, S. Minwalla, T. Takimi, S. R. Wadia and S. Yokoyama, "Unitarity, Crossing Symmetry and Duality of the S-matrix in large N Chern-Simons theories with fundamental matter," JHEP **1504** (2015) 129 [arXiv:1404.6373 [hep-th]].

[5] O. Aharony, S. Giombi, G. Gur-Ari, J. Maldacena and R. Yacoby, "The Thermal Free Energy in Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories," JHEP **1303**, 121 (2013) [arXiv:1211.4843 [hep-th]].

[6] W. A. Bardeen, "The Massive Fermion Phase for the U(N) Chern-Simons Gauge Theory in D=3 at Large N," JHEP **1410**, 39 (2014) [arXiv:1404.7477 [hep-th]].

[7] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, in preparation.

[8] D. J. Gross and A. Neveu, "Dynamical Symmetry Breaking in Asymptotically Free Field Theories," Phys. Rev. D **10** (1974) 3235.

[9] R. F. Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, "Semiclassical Bound States in an Asymptotically Free Theory," Phys. Rev. D **12**, 2443 (1975). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.12.2443

[10] B. Rosenstein, B. Warr and S. H. Park, Phys. Rept. **205**, 59 (1991). doi:10.1016/0370-1573(91)90129-A

[11] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rept. **385**, 69 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(03)00263-1 [hep-th/0306133].

[12] J. Feinberg and A. Zee, "Fermion bags in the massive Gross-Neveu model," Phys. Lett. B **411**, 134 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00993-3 [hep-th/9610009].